UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,595	05/21/2007	Eric Jeroen Laheij	ACH-3023 US	3551
56744 Albemarle Neth	7590 06/02/201 nerlands B.V.	EXAMINER		
	emark Department	JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
451 Florida Stre Baton Rouge, L			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/579,595	LAHEIJ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	EDWARD JOHNSON	1/36	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date \square			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later 1 may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun nortened statutory period for reply ori	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NC y);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			110 10000 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk 		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appo and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Edward M. Johnson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1736		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that first, the Final Office Action alleges... boehmite (QCB). This is not persuasive because Applicant's claimed "precursor" does not exclude the starting material of the cited prior art. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a "precursor" excluding the prior art "starting material") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

It is argued that the Office Action cites paragraph 82... at least 10. This is not persausive because Applicant appears to admit that "about 10" is disclosed, and a disclosure of "about 10" includes values at least slightly above 10.